

Friday, October 04, 2002

WHEN YOUR DOCTOR IS WRONG

OCTOBER 4. In her new book, *When Your Doctor Is Wrong*, author Judy Converse illuminates various scandals involving the Hepatitis B vaccine.

Let's start with what she calls the Holy Grail, a genetically engineered vaccine which would supposedly avoid contamination problems that occurred in old forms of the Hep B vaccine. Recombivax, made by Merck, and Energix-B, from SmithKline, were the newer, purer versions.

The whole vaccine industry held its breath as these vaccines made their way toward the market. If they gained FDA approval, the door would be flung open for a whole host of new vaccines put together through gene-tinkering. Billions in profits were on the horizon.

Merck asked the FDA for a green light on Recombivax based on ONE study, in which only 37 adults (and no children) were given the shots. This test showed that the vaccine did stimulate the production of antibodies which were deemed effective against possible Hep B infection. **THE STUDY SAID NOTHING ABOUT THE SAFETY OF THE VACCINE.**

An FDA committee had its doubts. It recommended more testing to establish that the vaccine was safe.

But, writes Converse, "...the FDA's Office of Biologics Director John Petricciani, MD, wanted to speed things along."

Petricciani and several of his colleagues thought that both safety and efficacy could be adjudicated AFTER the vaccine was approved and placed on the market. In other words, adults and children would become real-time guinea pigs.

And the deed was done. The FDA approved the vaccine. Later analyses of adverse reactions were poo-hooped as "probably unrelated" to the vaccine.

Merck did wind up doing another study of the vaccine. A few hundred children were tested. Converse points out that the study does not make clear whether infants were among the study group of children. Why is this important? Because infants are the major target of the Hep B vaccine.

The Merck study showed that 17% of the vaccine recipients experienced adverse effects. This very high statistic was ignored by everyone. Furthermore, adverse effects were only recorded for a period of **THREE DAYS** after the shots were given. As if vaccines can cause no harm after that point.

Finally, Converse states that even the Centers for Disease Control is aware that safety of vaccines is **NOT** tested for **BEFORE** marketing vaccines.

And we are supposed to believe that the Hep B vaccine is just fine, all is well, no need to question the authorities or back away from the shot.

Get the picture?

Now, consider this: The Hep B vaccine is being pushed very hard for infants, for babies. This for a disease that is said to be caused by shared needles (drug use) and sexual contact. Babies are having sex and shooting heroin? Am I missing something?

The venerable American Association of Physicians and Surgeons, led by Dr. Jane Orient, has called for a moratorium on the Heb B shot. Their petition and warnings have gone unheeded.

More parents need to tell their doctors that they want to see studies on the safety of vaccines their children are about to get.

The government chain of command on new vaccines goes something like this: **The Centers for Disease Control passes out a memo to state health officials. The memo assures that yet another good vaccine is now recommended for children. The states automatically accept the memo. School officials at the state level merely add the vaccine to the mandated list.**

If this is science, a dog is a jet pilot.

Understand this: Since no proper records are kept on all types of adverse vaccine reactions, there is no way to know what to look for in a child after a vaccine is given. Doctors routinely write off generalized neurological reaction, severe illness, brain-swelling, autism, gross fatigue, et al as unconnected to the vaccine. Wouldn't you? If you were the doctor, would you be eager to admit that the shot you just gave a child had caused these results?

And yet we are told, over and over again, by arrogant health officials and smarmy news reporters, that parents who refuse to vaccinate their children are cranks and weirdoes and anti-government lunatics and criminals who are neglecting and abusing their kids.

We have to break this stranglehold on information. More parent groups have to form and speak out.

The medical/government monopoly makes the assumption that all vaccines are safe and do not really need to be tested for safety before being launched on the public. This monopoly rightly fears what would happen if adequate testing were done.

And let's move over one step and talk about efficacy, the ability of a vaccine to protect kids against various diseases. There is a simple procedure for testing this. You take a very large group of vaccinated kids and a very large group of unvaccinated kids and you track them over a period of years. And you see whether these dangerous vaccines are really providing any protection.

Go look for such studies in the medical literature, studies which are not paid for by the vaccine manufacturers. I wish you good luck in finding them.

There was a time when diseases like measles, mumps, and chicken pox were SOP. Most kids got them. They were sick for a little while, and then they recovered. And then they were IMMUNE. Their immune systems had been strengthened by the experience.

But when doctors intercede and give vaccines for everything under the sun? In addition to all the dangerous adverse reactions, another effect is produced. The natural immune function of the child is impaired. The immune system is being shunted to the background in favor of artificial means. Over and over.

And as I have been writing, an impaired immune system is a telltale sign that the coming smallpox vaccine can have devastating results.

Is this a set-up? Is the Pope Catholic? Give kids many vaccines which weaken their immune systems, and

then come in with the smallpox shot, which even conventional doctors admit can cause death in those with already-compromised immune function.

This is what you are supposed to believe: The thousands of low and mid level drones who work in vaccine research know absolutely nothing about any of these issues. They labor during the day and watch Law and Order at night. And their bosses, and their bosses' bosses, also are in the dark. And at the top of the ladder, the people who run vaccine companies and the people who set the research agendas are completely ignorant as well. The horrific effects of vaccines simply stem from chains of blunders, committed again and again.

THERE IS NO CONSCIOUS INTENTION TO HARM AT ANY LEVEL. THERE IS NO CONSCIOUS NEGLIGENCE AT ANY LEVEL.

If you believe that, you should back way up and think it through again. Something is going on, and you don't know what it is.

In my fifteen years of investigating vaccines, I have come across NO case of a doctor or a researcher or a drug company executive being jailed for a crime having to do with vaccines. NOT ONE.

The billion-dollar protection racket is working well.

Here is a brief interview I did with an American MD on the vaccine issue. It raises some interesting points, to say the least.

Q: What do you tell your patients about vaccines?

A: I tell them they have a choice, that it's up to them, whether to vaccinate or not.

Q: Are you satisfied with that?

A: No.

Q: Why not?

A: Because the oath I took states, "Do no harm."

Q: So you think vaccines do harm.

A: Yes. I came to that conclusion after years of investigation.

Q: But at least you're telling your patients to make their own choice. You aren't telling them they're crazy if they don't vaccinate.

A: It's all right for a civilian to say, "Let's have a level playing field. Let's let parents decide whether to vaccinate or not. Let's have freedom of medical choice." But I'm a doctor. I'm supposed to give my best judgment to patients.

Q: And you're not doing that.

A: No, I'm hedging.

Q: Why?

A: Because I'm afraid that if I go all out against vaccines, the state will cancel my ticket. They'll take away my license to practice medicine.

Q: So you're protecting yourself.

A: Yes.

Q: You're not happy with that.

A: No.

Q: What are you going to do?

A: I don't know.

Q: Have you seen adverse reactions in people you've vaccinated?

A: Yes.

Q: Severe reactions?

A: Yes.

Q: What did you do?

A: Nothing. I had already told the parents they had that choice, to vaccinate or not. But so what? I'm between a rock and a hard place.

Q: Will you be able to break out?

A: I hope so. I can't think of a solution that'll keep my license intact. There is nothing to do except step up and tell the truth as I see it. That's the choice I have to face.

Q: What are you going to do?

A: Maybe stop treating patients altogether. Become an educator.

Q: Will you actually make that choice?

A: I don't know.