

# Mercury and Deadly Viruses in Vaccines

By Sandy Mintz

In spite of the known toxicity of thimerosal/mercury, vaccines containing it have not been recalled. Rather than being required to remove all existing stocks of mercury-containing vaccines, manufacturers and the FDA were merely "urged to work toward rapid reduction or elimination of mercury-containing preservatives in vaccines".

Had the public not become aware of the fact that **vaccines contain mercury**, even this woefully inadequate step might not have been taken.

As if that wasn't enough, and ignoring the fact that "absence of evidence is not evidence of absence", it was apparently felt necessary to dilute further the already grudging support being given to the notion that mercury exposure should be reduced, because they went on to say: "When vaccines containing thimerosal have been administered in the recommended doses, hypersensitivity has been noted, but no other harmful effects have been reported".

This in spite of the fact that the FDA "determined that infants who receive thimerosal-containing vaccines at several visits may be exposed to more mercury than recommended by federal guidelines for total mercury exposure", and its known toxicity.

In any event, almost three years later, vaccines containing thimerosal are still available and being administered to infants.

In an ironic, disgraceful twist, the flu vaccine, which also contains thimerosal, and **was not included in the list of vaccines recommended to have it removed**, is now being **recommended for infants**.

What might be the consequences of the zealous pursuit of vaccination, regardless of potential risks? One only has to look to the emerging polio vaccine story for a clue.

When, in pursuit of the elimination of polio via vaccination, it was discovered that a known animal carcinogen contaminated the vaccine, those vaccines containing the contamination were not recalled, for fear of "eroding confidence" in vaccines.

Officially adopting what perhaps should be known as its ongoing "ostrich policy", in its apparent belief that what you don't know can't hurt you, Public Health allowed existing stocks to be used up and the public to be kept uninformed.

Sadly, however, that was not to be the end of the story. Over the years there have been many journal articles on possible connections between SV40 and cancer, the most recent one linking SV40 to non-Hodgkin's lymphoma.

**For many years, however, little research was being done, and what was being done, ignored.** That is, until the report about 30 years later, of SV40 in children's brain tumors, and the later accidental discovery that SV40-caused mesotheliomas in hamsters.

(Apparently research showing SV40's presence in "human" brain tumors, as early as 1978, was not considered of great enough import to warrant increased investigation.)

Now, although the question is being more aggressively studied, with more and more cancers being linked to SV40, there continues to be resistance from some quarters.

Why were the questions about SV40 and cancer not vigorously pursued, from the very moment of its discovery in polio vaccines administered to millions of people?

What should this say about our blind faith in vaccination policy and the "experts" promoting it?

[VaccinationNews.com](http://VaccinationNews.com) **March 15, 2002**

---

## **DR. MERCOLA'S COMMENT:**

Thanks to Sandy for a concise summary of this issue.

As I said in my newsletter last month:

It is hard to believe that the US experts are getting away with more and more of this craziness.

They now have the audacity to recommend that the worthless flu vaccine be administered to already over immunized children under two.

Did you know that these flu vaccines they now want to give to kids contain mercury?

Doesn't make any sense when they just finished a two year process to start to get the mercury out of the hepatitis B vaccines. Now they want to reintroduce it with flu vaccines.

This is not yet official as it has only made it through the advisory stages, but it will soon be the recommendation of the land.

Oh, did you remember who was on the advisory committees?

If you did not have a chance to read my [recent article](#) on this, you will find that over 90% of those on the advisory committees are tied right back to the drug companies that are benefiting from the recommendation.

Hard to believe, but true. This was published in JAMA, the most widely circulated medical journal in the world.

The "experts" will use fear to motivate people to get a flu shot. Let's be clear, flu can definitely be a killer disease and it is not something that should be easily dismissed.

Remember flu shots don't prevent illness, never have, never will.

The flu shot actually weakens the immune system and makes actually you more predisposed to the illness.

It is my recommendation to avoid the flu shot just like you would avoid anthrax, and concentrate on eliminating the destroyer of health from your diet, which is sugar, by following the [eating plan](#).